

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/845,577	04/30/2001	Mathew Hausken	80581.02US	3250
7	590 03/22/2005		EXAM	INER
John E. Hyatt			SUBRAMANIAN, NARAYANSWAMY	
Altheimer & Gray 10 S. Wacker Drive, 35th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60606			3624	
			DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECIVED.

APR 0 5 2005

GROUP 3600

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
V	09/845,577	HAUSKEN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Narayanswamy Subramanian	3624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Se	ptember 2001.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
coo and accorded declaried office action for a list of the certified copies flot received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal Pa						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 3624

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-3, 10 and 11, drawn to a method, logic tree, computer readable medium and a system suggesting a benefit plan from a selection of benefit plans wherein the selection of plans comprises at least two different plans and wherein the method comprises, presenting a series of predetermined questions to a user, wherein each question has a question weight associated therewith; presenting a predetermined selection of answers for each question, wherein each answer has an answer weight associated therewith for each plan in the selection of plans; aggregating an answer score for each plan per each question, wherein the answer score is based upon an answer selected by the user, the answer weight associated with the answer selected and the question weight associated with the question answered; and suggesting the plan having the largest aggregated answer score associated therewith, classified in class 705, subclass 37.
- II. Claims 4-9 and 21-28, drawn to methods and computer-readable media having instructions for suggesting a benefit plan from a plurality of benefit plans, the method comprising: transmitting to a user a series of questions and a selection of answers for each question; receiving answer inputs from the user; determining an aggregate score for each benefit plan based upon the received answer inputs; and transmitting a suggestion for at least one benefit plan based upon the aggregate score of each plan, classified in class 705, subclass 37.
- III. Claim 12, drawn to a method of normalizing a benefit suggestion process for individual users, the method comprising: presenting a uniform set of questions and answers

Art Unit: 3624

to individual users; receiving answer input from a potential-plan sponsor; determining an answer score corresponding to each potential plan for each question based only on predetermined weighting factors and input received from the potential-plan sponsor; and suggesting a benefit plan based upon the answer scores, whereby the suggestion is normalized, classified in class 705, subclass 37.

IV. Claims 13-20, drawn to methods and computer-readable media having instructions for providing a user information regarding non-qualified benefits plans, the methods comprising: presenting to the user a question concerning non-qualified benefits plans; presenting to the user a plurality of user selectable answers for the question; and presenting to the user attributes of a non-qualified benefits plan in response to the user selecting one of the plurality of user selectable answers, classified in class 705, subclass 37.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as sub combinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The sub combinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I relates to a method, logic tree, computer readable medium and a system suggesting a benefit plan from a selection of benefit plans wherein the selection of plans comprises at least two different plans and wherein the method comprises, presenting a series of predetermined questions to a user, wherein each question has a question weight associated therewith; presenting a predetermined selection of answers for each question, wherein each answer has an answer weight associated therewith for each plan in the selection of plans; aggregating an answer score for each plan per each question, wherein the answer score is based upon an answer selected by the user, the answer weight

Application/Control Number: 09/845,577

Art Unit: 3624

associated with the answer selected and the question weight associated with the question answered; and suggesting the plan having the largest aggregated answer score associated therewith, whereas invention II relates to methods and computer-readable media having instructions for suggesting a benefit plan from a plurality of benefit plans, the method comprising: transmitting to a user a series of questions and a selection of answers for each question; receiving answer inputs from the user; determining an aggregate score for each benefit plan based upon the received answer inputs; and transmitting a suggestion for at least one benefit plan based upon the aggregate score of each plan. See MPEP § 806.05(d). Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper even though they are both classified in the same class and subclass.

Inventions I and III are related as sub combinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The sub combinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I relates to a method, logic tree, computer readable medium and a system suggesting a benefit plan from a selection of benefit plans wherein the selection of plans comprises at least two different plans and wherein the method comprises, presenting a series of predetermined questions to a user, wherein each question has a question weight associated therewith; presenting a predetermined selection of answers for each question, wherein each answer has an answer weight associated therewith for each plan in the selection of plans; aggregating an answer score for each plan per each question, wherein the answer score is based upon an answer selected by the user, the answer weight associated with the question

Application/Control Number: 09/845,577

Art Unit: 3624

answered; and suggesting the plan having the largest aggregated answer score associated therewith, whereas invention III relates to a method of normalizing a benefit suggestion process for individual users, the method comprising: presenting a uniform set of questions and answers to individual users; receiving answer input from a potential-plan sponsor; determining an answer score corresponding to each potential plan for each question based only on predetermined weighting factors and input received from the potential-plan sponsor; and suggesting a benefit plan based upon the answer scores, whereby the suggestion is normalized. See MPEP § 806.05(d). Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper even though they are both classified in the same class and subclass.

Inventions I and IV are related as sub combinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The sub combinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I relates to a method, logic tree, computer readable medium and a system suggesting a benefit plan from a selection of benefit plans wherein the selection of plans comprises at least two different plans and wherein the method comprises, presenting a series of predetermined questions to a user, wherein each question has a question weight associated therewith; presenting a predetermined selection of answers for each question, wherein each answer has an answer weight associated therewith for each plan in the selection of plans; aggregating an answer score for each plan per each question, wherein the answer score is based upon an answer selected by the user, the answer weight associated with the question

Application/Control Number: 09/845,577

Art Unit: 3624

answered; and suggesting the plan having the largest aggregated answer score associated therewith, whereas invention IV relates to methods and computer-readable media having instructions for providing a user information regarding non-qualified benefits plans, the methods comprising: presenting to the user a question concerning non-qualified benefits plans; presenting to the user a plurality of user selectable answers for the question; and presenting to the user attributes of a non-qualified benefits plan in response to the user selecting one of the plurality of user selectable answers. See MPEP § 806.05(d). Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper even though they are both classified in the same class and subclass.

Similarly other pairing of inventions stated above are related as sub combinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. These inventions are distinct from each other as can be evident from the definition of the groups described above. Also they require separate searches and hence restriction of these inventions for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 3. An attempt to reach Mr. Gary R. Jarosik by telephone on March 7, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement was unsuccessful.
- 4. Applicants are advised that reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Narayanswamy Subramanian whose telephone number is

(703) 305-4878. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to 7:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached at (703) 308-1065. The fax phone number for the Patent Office where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

N. Subramanian March 10, 2005

Jagdish N. Patel Primary Examiner

